COMP 590-154: Computer Architecture

Prefetching

Prefetching (1/3)

- Fetch block ahead of *demand*
- Target compulsory, capacity, (& coherence) misses
  - Why not conflict?

- Big challenges:
  - Knowing "what" to fetch
    - Fetching useless blocks wastes resources
  - Knowing "when" to fetch
    - Too early → clutters storage (or gets thrown out before use)
    - Fetching too late → defeats purpose of "pre"-fetching

Prefetching (2/3)

- Without prefetching:



- With prefetching:

- Or:



Prefetching must be *accurate* and *timely*

Prefetching (3/3)

- Without prefetching:

- With prefetching:



Prefetching removes loads from critical path

Common “Types” of Prefetching

- Software
- Next-Line, Adjacent-Line
- Next-N-Line
- Stream Buffers
- Stride
- “Localized” (e.g., PC-based)
- Pointer
- Correlation

Software Prefetching (1/4)

- Compiler/programmer places prefetch instructions

- Put prefetched value into…
  - Register (binding, also called "*hoisting*")
    - May prevent instructions from committing
  - Cache (non-binding)
    - Requires ISA support
    - May get evicted from cache before demand

Software Prefetching (2/4)



(Cache misses in red)

Hoisting must be aware of dependencies



Hopefully the load miss is serviced by the time we get to the consumer



Using a prefetch instruction can avoid problems with data dependencies

Software Prefetching (3/4)

```
for (I = 1; I < rows; I++)
{
    for (J = 1; J < columns; J++)
    {
        prefetch(&x[I+1,J]);
        sum = sum + x[I,J];
    }
}
```

Software Prefetching (4/4)

- Pros:
  - Gives programmer control and flexibility
  - Allows time for complex (compiler) analysis
  - No (major) hardware modifications needed
- Cons:
  - Hard to perform timely prefetches
    - At IPC=2 and 100-cycle memory → move load 200 inst. earlier
    - Might not even have 200 inst. in current function
  - Prefetching earlier and more often leads to low accuracy
    - Program may go down a different path
  - Prefetch instructions increase code footprint
    - May cause more I$ misses, code alignment issues

Hardware Prefetching (1/3)

- Hardware monitors memory accesses
  - Looks for common patterns
- Guessed addresses are placed into *prefetch queue*
  - Queue is checked when no demand accesses waiting
- Prefetchers look like READ requests to the hierarchy
  - Although may get special "prefetched" flag in the state bits

- Prefetchers trade bandwidth for latency
  - Extra bandwidth used ***only*** when guessing incorrectly
  - Latency reduced ***only*** when guessing correctly

No need to change software

Hardware Prefetching (2/3)



Hardware Prefetching (3/3)



- Real CPUs have multiple prefetchers
  - Usually closer to the core (easier to detect patterns)
  - Prefetching at LLC is hard (cache is banked and hashed)

Next-Line (or Adjacent-Line) Prefetching

- On request for line X, prefetch X+1 (or X^0x1)
  - Assumes spatial locality
    - Often a good assumption
  - Should stop at physical (OS) page boundaries
- Can often be done efficiently
  - Adjacent-line is convenient when next-level block is bigger
  - Prefetch from DRAM can use bursts and row-buffer hits
- Works for I$ and D$
  - Instructions execute sequentially
  - Large data structures often span multiple blocks

Simple, but usually not timely

Next-N-Line Prefetching

- On request for line X, prefetch X+1, X+2, …, X+N
  - N is called *"prefetch depth"* or *"prefetch degree"*

- Must carefully tune depth N. Large N is …
  - More likely to be useful (correct and timely)
  - More aggressive → more likely to make a mistake
    - Might evict something useful
  - More expensive → need storage for prefetched lines
    - Might delay useful request on interconnect or port

Still simple, but more timely than Next-Line

Stream Buffers (1/3)

- What if we have multiple inter-twined streams?
  - A, B, A+1, B+1, A+2, B+2, …
- Can use multiple *stream buffers* to track streams
  - Keep next-N available in buffer
  - On request for line X, shift buffer and fetch X+N+1 into it
- Can extend to "quasi-sequential" stream buffer
  - On request Y in [X…X+N], advance by Y-X+1
  - Allows buffer to work when items are skipped
  - Requires expensive (associative) comparison

Stream Buffers (2/3)



Figures from Jouppi "Improving Direct-Mapped Cache Performance by the Addition of a Small Fully-Associative Cache and Prefetch Buffers," ISCA'90

Stream Buffers (3/3)



Can support multiple streams in parallel

Stride Prefetching (1/2)



Column in matrix

Elements in array of `structs`

- Access patterns often follow a ***stride***
  - Accessing column of elements in a matrix
  - Accessing elements in array of `structs`
- Detect stride S, prefetch depth N
  - Prefetch X+1·S, X+2·S, …, X+N·S

Stride Prefetching (2/2)

- Must carefully select depth N
  - Same constraints as Next-N-Line prefetcher

- How to determine if A[i] → A[i+1] or X → Y ?
  - Wait until A[i+2] (or more)
  - Can vary prefetch depth based on confidence
    - More consecutive strided accesses → higher confidence



"Localized" Stride Prefetchers (1/2)

- What if multiple strides are interleaved?
  - No clearly-discernible stride
  - Could do multiple strides like stream buffers
    - Expensive (must detect/compare many strides on each access)
  - Accesses to structures usually *localized* to an instruction



Use an array of strides, indexed by PC

"Localized" Stride Prefetchers (2/2)

- Store PC, last address, last stride, and count in RPT
- On access, check *RPT (Reference Prediction Table)*
  - Same stride? → count++ if yes, count-- or count=0 if no
  - If count is high, prefetch (last address + stride*N)



Other Patterns

- Sometimes accesses are regular, but no strides
  - Linked data structures (e.g., lists or trees)



Linked-list traversal



Actual memory layout

(no chance to detect a stride)

Pointer Prefetching (1/2)




```
struct bintree_node_t {
    int data1;
    int data2;
    struct bintree_node_t * left;
    struct bintree_node_t * right;
};
```

Go ahead and prefetch these
(needs some help from the TLB)

This allows you to walk the tree
(or other pointer-based data structures
which are typically hard to prefetch)

Pointers usually “look different”

Pointer Prefetching (2/2)

- Relatively cheap to implement
  - Don't need extra hardware to store patterns
- Limited *lookahead* makes timely prefetches hard
  - Can't get next pointer until fetched data block



Pair-wise Temporal Correlation (1/2)

- Accesses exhibit *temporal correlation*
  - If E followed D in the past → if we see D, prefetch E







Can use recursively to get more lookahead ☺

Pair-wise Temporal Correlation (2/2)

- Many patterns more complex than linked lists
  - Can be represented by a *Markov Model*
  - Required tracking ***multiple*** potential successors
- Number of candidates is called *breadth*

Markov Model



Correlation Table



Recursive breadth & depth grows exponentially ☹

Increasing Correlation History Length

- Longer history enables more complex patterns
  - Use history hash for lookup
  - Increases training time

DFS traversal: ABDBEBACFCGCA





Much better accuracy ☺, exponential storage cost ☹

Spatial Correlation (1/2)



- Irregular layout → non-strided
- Sparse → can't capture with cache blocks
- But, repetitive → predict to improve MLP

Large-scale ***repetitive*** spatial access patterns

Spatial Correlation (2/2)

- Logically divide memory into regions
- Identify region by base address
- Store spatial pattern (bit vector) in correlation table



Evaluating Prefetchers

- Compare against larger caches
  - Complex prefetcher vs. simple prefetcher with larger cache
- Primary metrics
  - *Coverage*: prefetched hits / base misses
  - *Accuracy*: prefetched hits / total prefetches
  - *Timeliness*: latency of prefetched blocks / hit latency
- Secondary metrics
  - *Pollution*: misses / (prefetched hits + base misses)
  - Bandwidth: total prefetches + misses / base misses
  - Power, Energy, Area...

Hardware Prefetcher Design Space

- What to prefetch?
  - Predictors regular patterns (x, x+8, x+16, …)
  - Predicted correlated patterns (A…B->C, B..C->J, A..C->K, …)
- When to prefetch?
  - On every reference → lots of lookup/prefetcher overhead
  - On every miss → patterns filtered by caches
  - On prefetched-data hits (positive feedback)
- Where to put prefetched data?
  - *Prefetch buffers*
  - Caches

What's Inside Today's Chips

- Data L1
  - PC-localized stride predictors
  - Short-stride predictors within block → prefetch next block
- Instruction L1
  - Predict future PC → prefetch
- L2
  - Stream buffers
  - Adjacent-line prefetch